

Adopted July 19, 2005
Revised and readopted April 16, 2008
Revised and readopted July 2011

Interstate Water Marketing

Colorado River Water Conservation District Policy Statements:

The Colorado River Water Conservation District (River District) opposes any proposal to market Colorado River water between the states of the Upper Colorado River Basin and Lower Colorado River Basin without the unanimous consent of all seven states. The District also opposes marketing of Compact-related waters among states of the Upper Colorado River Basin without similar, unanimous consent of the Upper Basin states.

Background:

The State of Colorado is signatory to the 1922 Colorado River Compact and the 1948 Upper Colorado River Basin Compact. The 1922 and 1948 compacts, along with the 1944 International Treaty with Mexico, a number of other federal laws, and United States Supreme Court decisions comprise the "Law of the River." The diversion of Colorado River water for consumptive beneficial uses within the State of Colorado is subject to, and limited by, provisions of the Law of the River.

The Colorado River Compacts of 1922 and 1948 protect Colorado from downstream states claiming prior (senior) use that would preclude Colorado's eventual development of its full entitlement. Accordingly, the compacts must be protected and defended from legal challenge or amendment unless all seven basin states agree to the terms of a proposed change. Any non-consensual proposal to market water between basins may represent an abrogation of the 1922 Compact.

The primary purposes of both compacts are to provide legal certainty regarding how much water each state can develop, to allow states to develop their water resources when the water is needed, and to preclude the interstate application of the prior appropriation doctrine. These, and other, benefits of the compacts outweigh any short-term benefit that may accrue to one state from interstate marketing of its compact-allocated water.

Periodically, individuals have proposed water development projects in Colorado that would provide water to Lower Basin states permanently or for a defined period of time. The most recent was the proposed Roan Creek Project. This project, to be located near DeBeque, proposed to perfect Chevron Oil's conditional water rights intended for eventual oil shale production by-leasing the water to Las Vegas for 50 years or until such time as Chevron required the water for local use.

Additionally, Utah has historically proposed selling a portion of its compact entitlement to lower basin interests through a binding commitment to forego development of a specified portion of its entitlement, in essence transferring a portion of its entitlement for lower basin states to develop.

Page 2

Interstate Water Marketing

Adopted July 19, 2005

Revised and readopted April 16, 2008

Revised and readopted July 2011

Under most interpretations of the compacts, the Upper Basin states do not have a clearly quantified allocation. Therefore, one Upper Basin state selling a portion of its unquantified entitlement is problematic, at best. At worst it introduces Lower Basin interests into any eventual resolution of ambiguities in the 1948 Upper Colorado River Basin Compact and changes allegiances within the Upper Basin when negotiating ambiguities in the 1922 Compact.

In the lower basin of the Colorado River, interstate water storage agreements and consensual water marketing among states of the lower basin have been an important tool to manage limited supplies of and increasing demands for Colorado River water. Because of the structure and operation of the Colorado River, consistent with the Colorado River Compact of 1922, the River District fully supports water marketing among the lower basin states of the Colorado River.